3. On or about December 14, 2010, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Petition to Revoke Probation No. 3799, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:

6002 Lido Lane Long Beach, California 90803.

- 4. Service of the Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
  - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 3799.
  - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Petition to Revoke

## ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 21664, heretofore issued to Respondent Oscar J. Krinsky, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on April 15, 2011. It is so ORDERED March 16, 2011. (. Wussi STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 60596137.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2010600930 Attachment: Exhibit A: Petition to Revoke Probation

# Exhibit A

Petition to Revoke Probation

- 1			
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California		
2	GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General M. TRAVIS PEERY Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 261887 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Department of Justice Los Angeles, CA 90013		
3			
4			
5			
6	Telephone: (213) 897-0962 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:		
13	OSCAR KRINSKY		
14	6002 Lido Lane Long Beach, California 90803  PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION		
1.5			
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 21664		
16	Pharmacist License No. RPH 21664  Respondent.		
16			
16 17	Respondent.		
16 17 .18	Respondent.  Complainant alleges:		
16 17 18 19	Respondent.  Complainant alleges:  PARTIES		
16 17 18 19 20	Respondent.  Complainant alleges:  PARTIES  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her		
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges:  PARTIES  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her official capacity as Executive Officer, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Respondent.  Complainant alleges:  PARTIES  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her official capacity as Executive Officer, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.  2. On or about July 22, 1960, the Board of Pharmacy issued original Pharmacist License		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Respondent.  Complainant alleges:  PARTIES  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her official capacity as Executive Officer, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.  2. On or about July 22, 1960, the Board of Pharmacy issued original Pharmacist License Number RPH 21664 to Oscar Krinsky (Respondent). The License was in effect at all times		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges:  PARTIES  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her official capacity as Executive Officer, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.  2. On or about July 22, 1960, the Board of Pharmacy issued original Pharmacist License Number RPH 21664 to Oscar Krinsky (Respondent). The License was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, unless renewed.		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges:  PARTIES  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her official capacity as Executive Officer, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.  2. On or about July 22, 1960, the Board of Pharmacy issued original Pharmacist License Number RPH 21664 to Oscar Krinsky (Respondent). The License was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, unless renewed.  3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Oscar		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Complainant alleges:  PARTIES  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her official capacity as Executive Officer, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.  2. On or about July 22, 1960, the Board of Pharmacy issued original Pharmacist License Number RPH 21664 to Oscar Krinsky (Respondent). The License was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, unless renewed.  3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Oscar Krinsky," Case No. 2460, the Board of Pharmacy issued a decision, effective March 26, 2003,		

#### FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

#### (Failure to Report Quarterly to the Board)

9. At all times after the effective date of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's License, Term and Condition 4 of that Order stated:

"Reporting to the Board. Respondent Krinsky shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Probationer shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board."

10. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Term and Condition 4 of that probation, listed above, in that Respondent failed to submit timely quarterly reports to the Board; his last report of any kind was dated July 22, 2005.

## SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

## (Tolling of Probation for Period Exceeding Three Years)

11. At all times after the effective date of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's License, Term and Condition 15 of that Order stated:

"Tolling of Probation. Should Respondent Krinsky, regardless of residency, for any reason cease practicing pharmacy for a minimum of 8 hours per calendar month in California, Respondent must notify the Board in writing within 10 days of cessation of the practice of pharmacy or the resumption of the practice of pharmacy. Such periods of time shall not apply to the reduction of the probation period. It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a period exceeding three consecutive years.

""Cessation of practice" means any period of time exceeding 30 days in which Respondent is not engaged in the practice of pharmacy as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4052."

///

## Exhibit A

Decision and Order
Board of Pharmacy Case No. 2460

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2460

OAH No. L-2002060673

LEADER DRUG 152 Pine Avenue

Long Beach, California 90802 OSCAR J. KRINSKY

Pharmacist-in-Charge Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 20927

OSCAR J. KRINSKY 6002 Lido Lane Long Beach, California 90803 Pharmacist License No. RPH 21664

Respondents.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

> This Decision shall become effective on March 26, 2003 It is so ORDERED February 24, 2003

> > BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

JOHN D. JONES

Board President

Correct Cobalcobiez of the obicinary

BHISLIHERE DOCUMENIZ IRVBE IBRE YND

rady to breath their ainvellet AIBCINIY HEROTO Executive Officer

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	·	
2	CHRISTOPHER J. RUIZ, State Bar No. 165017  Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
3			
4	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2535		
5	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	•	
б	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9			
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2460	
11	LEADER DRUG	OAH No. L-2002060673	
12	152 Pine Avenue Long Beach, California 90802	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
13	OSCAR J. KRINSKY Pharmacist-in-Charge	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 20927		
15	OSCAR J. KRINSKY 6002 Lido Lane		
16	Long Beach, California 90803 Pharmacist License No. RPH 21664		
17	Respondents.		
18.	, respondents.		
19	YOU TO THEN THAT COMPANY A PROPER A STOCK OF THE TAIL AND A CONTROL IN A 11 Amount of the Control of the Contro		
	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the		
20	above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
21	<u>PARTIES</u>		
22	1, Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of		
23	Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. She brought this action solely in her		
24	official capacity and is represented in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State		
25	of California, by Christopher J. Ruiz, Deputy Attorney General.		
26	2. Respondent Leader Drug (Respondent Leader) and Respondent Oscar		
27	Krinsky (Respondent Krinsky) are represented in this proceeding by attorney Ronald S. Marks,		
28	Esq., whose address is Trillium Tower East #1550, 6320 Canoga Ave., Woodland Hills, CA		

- 8

<sup>9</sup>

3. On or about October 16, 1979, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 20927 to Respondent Krinsky and Harrie Krinsky to do business as Leader Drug. Respondent Krinsky has been the pharmacist-in-charge since August 1, 1995.

4. On or about July 22, 1960, the Board issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 21664 to Respondent Krinsky.

#### JURISDICTION

5. Accusation No. 2460 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent Leader and Respondent Krinsky (Respondents). The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on April 11, 2002. Thereafter, a First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on August 5, 2002. Respondents timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of the First Amended Accusation No. 2460 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondents have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation No. 2460. Respondents have also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at their own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on their own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondents voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waive and give up each and every right set forth above.

#### CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondents understand and agree that the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 2460, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for discipline.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the First Amended Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondents agree that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the First Amended Accusation, and that Respondents hereby give up their right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondents agree that the Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 20927 and Pharmacist License RPH 21664 are subject to discipline and they agree to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

12. Respondents have never been the subject of any prior disciplinary action,

#### RESERVATION

13. The admissions made by Respondents herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

#### CONTINGENCY

14. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondents understand and agree that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondents or their counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondents understand and agree that they may not withdraw their agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

28 //

15. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

б

16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Original Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 20927 issued to Respondent Leader is hereby revoked. The revocation is stayed for ninety (90) days from the effective date of the Decision and Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 21664 issued to Respondent Krinsky is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent Krinsky is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. Actual Suspension - Pharmacist. Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 21664 issued to Respondent Krinsky is suspended from the practice of pharmacy for ninety (90) days beginning on the effective date of this decision.

During suspension, Respondent Krinsky shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, medical device retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the Board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs, controlled substances or legend drugs are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall Respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the Board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs or controlled substances of dangerous drugs or controlled substances. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy.

2. Obey All Laws. Respondent Krinsky shall obey all state and federal

4

8

9

11

12

10

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within 72 hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendere in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state and federal agency which involves Respondent's license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling or distribution or billing or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.
- Reporting to the Board. Respondent Krinsky shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board,
- 5. Interview with the Board. Upon receipt of reasonable notice, Respondent Krinsky shall appear in person for interviews with the Board upon request at various intervals at a location to be determined by the Board. Failure to appear for a scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff shall be considered a violation of probation.

- 6. Cooperation with Board Staff. Respondent Krinsky shall cooperate with the Board's inspectional program and in the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation. Failure to comply shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 7. Continuing Education. Respondent Krinsky shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the Board.
- 8. Notice to Employers. Respondent Krinsky shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 2460 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on Respondent by the decision. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and within 15 days of Respondent undertaking new employment, Respondent shall cause his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge and/or owner to report to the Board in writing acknowledging the employer has read the decision in case number 2460.

If Respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent must notify the direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and/or owner at every pharmacy of the and terms conditions of the decision in case number 2460 in advance of the Respondent commencing work at each pharmacy.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, parttime, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist, whether the Respondent is considered an employee or independent contractor.

- 9. No Preceptorships, Supervision of Interns, Being Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), or Serving as a Consultant. Respondent Krinsky shall not supervise any intern pharmacist or perform any of the duties of a preceptor, nor shall Respondent be the pharmacist-in-charge of any entity licensed by the Board unless otherwise specified in this order.
- 10. Reimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$10,000.00. Respondent shall make said payments as follows:

б

Respondent Krinsky will make thirty (29) payments of three hundred thirty-three dollars and thirty three cents (\$333.33) and (1) payment of three hundred thirty-three dollars and forty-three cents (\$333.43) beginning within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Decision and Order and payable every month thereafter.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent Krinsky shall not relieve

Respondent Krinsky of his responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

- 11. **Probation Monitoring Costs.** Respondent Krinsky shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board at the end of each year of probation. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 12. Status of License. Respondent Krinsky shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active current license with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled.

If Respondent's license expires or is canceled by operation of law or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication, Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

effective date of this decision, should Respondent Krinsky cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may tender his license to the Board for surrender. The Board shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish his pocket license to the Board within 10 days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the Board for three years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought

2.

14. Notification of Employment/Mailing Address Change.

Respondent Krinsky shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving and/or the address of the new employer, supervisor or owner and work schedule if known. Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of a change in name, mailing address or phone number.

15. Tolling of Probation. Should Respondent Krinsky, regardless of residency, for any reason cease practicing pharmacy for a minimum of 8 hours per calendar month in California, Respondent must notify the Board in writing within 10 days of cessation of the practice of pharmacy or the resumption of the practice of pharmacy. Such periods of time shall not apply to the reduction of the probation period. It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a period exceeding three years.

"Cessation of practice" means any period of time exceeding 30 days in which
Respondent is not engaged in the practice of pharmacy as defined in Section 4052 of
the Business and Professions Code.

16. Violation of Probation. If Respondent Krinsky violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent during probation, or if the Board requests the Office of the Attorney General file a petition to revoke probation or an accusation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be extended, until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

If Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty which was stayed.

- 18. No Ownership of Premises. Respondent Krinsky shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the Board within 90 days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the Board.
- 19. Tolling of Suspension. If Respondent Krinsky leaves California to reside or practice outside this state, for any period exceeding 10 days (including vacation), Respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside the state or any absence exceeding a period of 10 days shall not apply to the reduction of the suspension period.

Respondent shall not practice pharmacy upon returning to this state until notified by the Board that the period of suspension has been completed.

//

//

//

б

### ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Ronald S. Marks, Esq.. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on Pharmacy Permit PHY 20927 and my Pharmacist License RPH 21664. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 12/23/02

Respondent Leader Drug By Oscar Krinsky, for Leader Drug Re Permit No. PHY 20927

DATED: 12/23/02

Respondent Oscar/Krinsky

Re Pharmacist License No. RPH 211664

I have discussed this above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order with my client and approve this settlement.

DATED: 12-26-02

RONALD S. MARKS, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

б

. 11

#### ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: 1/9/03.

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

CHRISTOPHER J. RUIZ Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

DOJ Docket Number: 03583110-LA2001AD2136